

INTERVIEW SUMMARY

The following is a summary of the telephone interview conducted by the undersigned attorney with the Examiner on July 20, 2009.

(A) A brief description of the nature of any exhibits shown or any demonstration conducted.

Applicant's attorney verbally provided the Examiner a proposed amendments to the independent claims of the above captioned application and described the differences between the applicant and Gombotz et al (hereafter Gombotz) (WO/13780).

(B) Identification of the claims discussed.

Claim 1 was the claim most thoroughly discussed, though the amendments discussed were also applied to the other pending independent claims.

(C) Identification of specific prior art discussed.

Gombotz et al (WO/13780).

(D) Identification of the principal proposed amendments of a substantive nature discussed.

The proposed amendments to claim 1 as set forth herein was discussed. This included language about the active ingredient of the applicant's invention.

(E) The general thrust of the principal arguments.

Applicants' attorney presented substantially the same arguments as are set forth in the following "Remarks" which follow this interview summary.

(F) A general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed.

None.

(G) If appropriate, the general results or outcome of the interview.

The Examiner indicated that she understood the arguments set forth, and at this point in the prosecution of the application, the preferable method of amending the claims would be with a request for continued examination.